G R DIAN[®]

The University of Arizona FY23 Greenhouse Gas analysis

April 2024

Duncan Ketel & Sarah Rodgers

Effectively Manage the Entire **Building** Lifecycle



© 2024 The Gordian Group, Inc. All Rights Reserved.



Comprehensive Capital Planning Solutions



Sustainability Solutions Agenda

Overview of Gordian Data Analysis

Summary of Emissions Profile

Scope 1 Emissions Overview

Scope 2 Emissions Overview

Scope 3 Emissions Overview



SIMAP Partnership

At the end of 2017, Gordian entered into a partnership with the Sustainability Institute at the University of New Hampshire, ensuring our Sustainability Solutions are always based on the most up-to-date science and methods.

They host *Sustainability Indicator Management & Analysis Platform* (SIMAP). This is a carbon and nitrogen-accounting platform that tracks and analyzes campuswide sustainability based on nearly two decades of work supporting campus inventories.







Components of UArizona's Emissions Profile



Scope 1	Scope 2	Scope 3		
Direct GHGS				
 On-Campus Stationary (Cogen plant and other) 	Purchased Electricity	 Faculty/Staff/ Student Commuting 		
· Vahiele Elect Evel		 Directly Financed Air & Ground Travel 		
• venicle Fleet Fuel		Study Abroad Travel		
Refrigerants		Solid Waste		
• Fertilizer				
		Wastewater		





Included Scope

16.5M EUI-AFA included in Sustainability Scope

GSF Used to Calculate EUI-AFA



A

- Scope includes main campus in Tucson and designated Outlying Properties within the city of Tucson.
- Parking Garages are excluded from total GSF
- Laboratory, Healthcare, and Other Energy Intenstive GSF is broken out for EUI-AFA adjustments



Emission Summary

Longitudinal Emissions by Scope



Total emissions increased by 5% in FY23 from prior year



Longitudinal Emissions

© 2024 The Gordian Group, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Sustainability Peers

Peers determined using location, campus size, and population



Peer Institution	Location
Clemson University	Clemson, SC
Florida State University	Tallahassee, FL
Michigan State University	East Lansing, MI
Texas A&M University	College Station, TX
University of Alabama	Tuscaloosa, AL
University of Arkansas	Fayetteville, AR
University of Tennessee	Knoxville, TN





Two Ways to Normalize Emissions for Comparison

GHG Emissions per 1,000 GSF EUI Adjusted



Stresses intensity of operations.

Gross GHG Emissions EUI Adjusted GSF X 1,000

GHG Emissions per Weighted Campus User



Stresses efficient use of space.

Gross GHG Emissions

Weighted Campus User



Defining Normalization Process



GSF vs EUI-Adjusted Floor Area

Energy Use Intensity (EUI) is a unit of measurement representing energy consumed by a building relative to its size, per square foot.

Energy intensive space includes "laboratory space", "healthcare space", and "other energy intensive space".

AASHE STARS calculates the formula the following way:

EUI-AFA = A+(2*(B+C))+D

A = Gross floor area of bldg. space

B = floor area of lab space

C = floor area of healthcare space

D = floor area of other energy intensive space

Total Campus FTE vs Weighted Campus User

The Weighted Campus User metric is used more widely in campus sustainability in order to give more credence to onsite residents, and the energy use they require by being onsite full-time.

WCU = (A+B+C) + 0.75 [(D-A) + (E-B) - F]

A = student residents onsiteB = employee residents onsiteC = other residents onsite/staffed hospital beds

D = Total FTE student equivalent enrollment

- E = FTE of employees (faculty and staff)
- F = FTE of students enrolled ONLY in distance education

FY23 Gross Emissions per Space and Campus User

Main campus Scope 2 neutrality leads to emissions significantly below peers



© 2024 The Gordian Group, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Trending Gross Emissions Normalized by Space



Scope 3 increases lead to a minor bump into total normalized emissions, similar to peers

UArizona Gross Emissions

Peer Gross Emissions





FY22 vs FY23 Distribution of Emissions by Level of Control

Total FY22 emissions: 139,118 MTCDE Total FY23 emissions: 146,046 MTCDE





Scope 1: Direct Emissions



UArizona's scope 1 emissions are higher than the peer average and peer median



© 2024 The Gordian Group, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Scope 1: Direct Emissions- Cogen Comparison

UArizona's scope 1 emissions below peer average, but higher than Cogen median



18

Scope 1 Emissions vs Peers



Scope 1: Stationary Fuel Consumption

Natural gas consumption has decreased by 5% since FY19 peak







Scope 1&2: Campus Electric Consumption

Outlying Property (OLP) and Syncharpha electricity is only source of scope 2 emissions







Scope1&2: Total Electric Consumption vs. Peers

UArizona consumes less electricity than peers and majority of KWH's are carbon neutral



Scope 1&2 Total Electric Consumption



Energy Emissions vs. Peers



Total energy emissions: 84,533 MTCDE (Scope 2: 2,153 MTCDE, Scope 1: 82,379 MTCDE)



Energy Emissions



Current Emission Profile – Utility vs. Other

UArizona's profile is comprised of less utility-related emissions than peers on average

FY23 UArizona

FY23 Peers





Scope 3: Indirect Emissions Overview



Uarizona's Scope 3 emissions are higher than peers due to greater directly financed travel emissions



© 2024 The Gordian Group, Inc. All Rights Reserved.



Travel Profile (Air Miles Flown)



Directly financed travel emissions increased by 28% in FY23

UAZ's Travel Emissions



Directly Financed Travel
Study Abroad Travel

UArizona Water Use



Total water usage increased by 5% in FY23



Campus Water Use

Total Gallons of Water Consumed

UArizona Water Use by Source





Well water usage increased by 16% in FY23, primary source of increased water consumption Campus Water Use

Total Gallons

© 2024 The Gordian Group, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

UArizona Wastewater Over Time



FY23 wastewater consumption increased due to greater water usage on campus



Campus Water Use

Total Gallons

© 2024 The Gordian Group, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

*Decrease in wastewater due to change in methodology



Scope 3: A Closer Look at Waste

UArizona recycles and composts at higher rate than peers



Solid Waste Emissions





Automobile/SOV	53%	2.96	Automobile/SOV	51%	6.49	Automobile/SOV	51%
Bicycle	7%	0.54	Bicycle	4%	1.06	Bicycle	4%
Walk	19%	0.15	Walk	3%	0.70	Walk	3%
Carpool	7%	1.68	Carpool	12%	5.45	Carpool	12%
Light/Commuter Rail	3%	0.42	Light/Commuter Rail	2%	1.32	Light/Commuter Rail	2%
Public Bus	2%	1.05	Public Bus	2%	1.38	Public Bus	2%
Electric Vehicle	.5%	1.33	Electric Vehicle	4%	7.37	Electric Vehicle	4%
Telecommuting	1%	-	Telecommuting	25%	-	Telecommuting	25%

Commuting Mode Splits and Distances

Category

Student Commuters

Percentage

Distance

Category



Distance

6.49

1.06

0.70

5.45

1.32

1.38

7.37

Faculty Commuters

Percentage

Distance

Staff Commuters

Percentage

Category

Scope 3: Total Commuting Emissions

Improved data collection methodology reduces student commuting emissions



31

Commuting Emissions

FY23 Commuting Emissions vs. Peers



